

# An Efficient Balanced Route Discovery in Heterogeneous Overlay Sensor Network's

**GADIASWINI**

M. Tech,  
Department of CSE,  
Shri Vishnu Engineering College for  
Women (A),  
Vishnupur, Bhimavaram, West Godavari  
District, Andhra Pradesh.

**Mrs. D.N.S.B.KAVITHA**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of CSE,  
Shri Vishnu Engineering College for  
Women (A),  
Vishnupur, Bhimavaram, West Godavari  
District, Andhra Pradesh.

## ABSTRACT

*We introduce one or a few shared nodes that can use multiple channels to relay data packets. Assuming that sinks and shared nodes can communicate with any WSNs here, different WSNs can use cooperative routing with each other since shared nodes allow sensor nodes to forward data from another WSN as the function of interchange points among respective WSN planes. When receiving a packet, a shared node selects the route to send the packet, according to proposed route selection methods. This cooperation prolongs the life time of each network equally as possible.*

**Keywords-** *Sensor Network, Cooperative Routing, Fairness, Heterogeneous Environment, Load Balancing.*

## INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) is a distribution of autonomous sensors, which cooperatively monitor physical or environmental conditions, such as temperature, vibration, pressure, sound and so on. WSNs are used in many areas, including home automation, health monitoring or other healthcare applications, industrial process control and monitoring, etc.

Applications of WSNs are expanding and the implementation of multifunctional and reliable WSNs is of utmost importance. The detection process in WSNs mostly depends on sensor node's physical conditions and the solutions of detection problems are largely based on hardware rather than software.

After detection, the node has to find whom and how to transfer the sensed data. After that, the turn passes to data transfer process. This process doesn't take much effort from sensor node due to the small size of desired data. Low energy consumption is a critical task in WSNs, especially in sensor networks comprised of nodes that are considered lightweight with limited battery power. The most critical process in sensor networks is the routing because of high energy consumption, end-to-end delay, and control of packet overhead. Thus, it is required to have a routing mechanism for reducing energy consumption in sensor nodes and for increasing the network lifetime.

The faster is the routing process, the longer is the sensor node lifetime and the less is the energy consumption. Hence, the development of efficient routing algorithms is a crucial task in WSN. On one hand, low energy consumption is an important limitation in sensor networks, which are comprised of lightweight

nodes with limited battery power. Hence, preserving the energy becomes a critical task in such networks. On the other hand, routing is a critical process in sensor networks due to concerns about energy consumption, end-to-end delay, and packet overhead. Thus, it is required to have a good routing mechanism in WSNs for reducing energy consumption in sensor nodes and for increasing the network life time.

The process of setting up the routes during the initialization is influenced by energy considerations. Furthermore, load-balancing the resource evenhandedly prevents bottlenecks from forming and this is another challenging task. To increase the performance of WSN routing, multiple paths can be used concurrently. In coherent routing, the data is propagated after such processing as duplicate prevention, time-stamping, etc. The performance of routing protocols is linked to the architectural model and depends heavily on the implementation model. Design constraints might further impact the performance.

In this paper, we consider the heterogeneity of networks and propose a fair cooperative routing method, to avoid unfair improvement only on certain networks. We introduce one or a few shared nodes that can use multiple channels to relay data packets. Assuming that sinks and shared nodes can communicate with any WSNs here, different WSNs can use cooperative routing with each other since shared nodes allow sensor nodes to forward data from another WSN as the function of interchange points among respective WSN planes. When receiving a packet, a shared node selects the route to send the packet, according to proposed route selection methods. This cooperation prolongs the lifetime of each network equally as possible.

## RELATED WORKS

Clustering is one of the most famous methods because of its good scalability and the support for data aggregation. Data aggregation combines data packets from multiple sensor nodes into one data packet by eliminating redundant information. This reduces the transmission load and the total amount of data. In clustering, the energy load is well balanced by dynamic election of cluster heads (CHs). By rotating the CH role among all sensor nodes, each node tends to expend the same amount of energy over time. Nevertheless, as with usual multi-hop forwarding, a CH around a sink tends to have higher traffic than other CHs. As a result, nodes around sinks die earlier than other nodes, even in clustered WSN.


In general, a single WSN has a single sink. The amount of traffic increases around the sink, therefore nodes around the sink tend to die earlier. This is called energy hole problem. Moreover, in a large-scale WSN with a large number of sensor nodes, the energy hole problem is more serious. Then, some researchers have proposed construction methods of multiple sink networks. In a multiple-sink WSN, sensor nodes are divided into a few clusters. Sensor nodes within a cluster are connected with one sink, which belongs to that cluster.

In contrast to a single-sink WSN, in which nodes around the sink have to relay data from almost all nodes, nodes around each sink relay smaller amount of data only from nodes that are in the same cluster. Therefore, the communication load of nodes around sinks can be reduced. However, there are some problems such as how to determine the optimal location of each sink and the optimal number of sinks.

## THE PROPOSED APPROACH

### Assumed Environment:-

In this paper, we assume the following environment. In a sensing field,  $m$  different WSNs are constructed, and different applications are operating on each WSN independently. If heavy loaded nodes are in different places among the WSNs as indicated in the example, it is possible that data packets via heavy loaded nodes are forwarded by other nodes in another WSN. However, each network adopts different channel, hence sensor nodes are unable to communicate with a node belonging to another WSN. To overcome this limitation,  $q$  shared nodes, which are high-end nodes with multi-channel communication unit, are deployed in the area. Shared nodes and sinks are able to communicate with any nodes belonging to all WSNs.



Sensor nodes consume their energy only by communication, which is a reasonable assumption in sensor networks with simple sensors. Sinks and shared nodes have sufficiently large batteries or power supply. We define the WSNs' lifetime as the time when a first sensor node depletes its all battery energy. For heterogeneity, the battery capacity of a sensor node, the number of nodes, nodes' locations, energy consumption by communication, packet size, data transmission timing and operation start time are different by

each WSN. Note that the sensing area is the same in all WSNs since we aim at the cooperation in overlapped multiple networks.

A node that is far from a sink in its own network, but near a sink in another network, can forward a packet from a node in another WSN to the corresponding sink. In this paper, we call the former network the home network and the latter network the visitor network. The method achieves load balancing between a heavy-load node in a home network and a light-load node in a visitor network. As a result, the lifetime of both networks can be extended. Specifically, each network constructs a path along which a node can't forward a packet from a node in another WSN in advance. It is based on the well-known Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) protocol, making it easy to implement. In addition, some nodes construct routes to the sinks of visitor networks.

### Node Function:

The proposed method enables a node that is far from a sink in its home network, but near a sink in a visitor network, and can forward a packet from a node in the visitor network. Each node has a routing table that includes not only an entry for a sink in its home network but also an entry for a sink in the visitor network. When a node overhears a data packet from its visitor network, it decides whether to receive and forward it or to ignore it. This procedure is explained later in more detail.

### Routing Table Creation:

This subsection explains how to create the routing table. Initially, each node sends an AODV-based route request packet to create an entry in its routing table for a sink in its home network. After this creation process, each node broadcasts an additional route request packet named B-REQ to the sinks of all visitor networks. (Nodes on the path from the node to the sink will create an entry in their routing table


to the sink.) In addition, as a metric to decide the next hop, min Energy is also notified. This refers to the minimum residual energy of nodes along the path to the sink.

### Cooperative Routing Method:

In the proposed method, when a node sends its sensing data, it attaches the value of its residual energy in a header field of the packet. When a node relays a packet, it compares the residual energy of the node itself and that recorded in the packet, and the recorded value is replaced by smaller one. As a result, the minimum energy along the path from its source node is recorded. According to this procedure, a node can record a value of minimum energy along the paths every networks and select the path for the maximum value of this energy. After node P has the created path in its home network (Sink1), it broadcasts a B-REQ to the visitor networks Net2 and Net3. When node Q and node R receive this B-REQ, they write their network ID in the header of the B-REQ and transmit it to their sink. After this procedure, the routes from node P to the sink via Net2 and Net3. When node P receives a data packet for Sink1, it selects a suitable route from the entries in its routing table. In this case, node P selects node R, which has the maximum value for min Energy, as the next-hop node. As we described below, the proposed method tries to extend the lifetime of each network by cooperative forwarding. However, it may result in a case where a network shortens its lifetime by the burden of forwarding for visitor networks. To avoid such a situation, in the proposed method, a node which has less residual energy does not relay packets from visitor networks. Specifically, we define a value of cooperation threshold in each network as a metric to decide whether to forward packets from visitor networks or not. For this metric, each sink broadcasts the minimum value of residual energy among all the nodes in its home network

to the nodes in its home network. When a node acquires the value, it compares with its own residual energy. If its own residual energy is smaller, it refuses to forward packets from visitor networks and applies itself to relay packets in its home network.

### Results analysis



	Node average life span(sec)
Existing method	7.75
Proposed method	26
Enhanced method	141.75

### CONCLUSION

In this paper, we long for about heterogeneous overlapped sensor networks that have been built on the same area. In this kind of predicament, it is expected that the lifetime of all networks must be expanded by way of cooperation in a couple of networks. We proposed a fair cooperative routing process with shared nodes, with the purpose to gain fair lifetime growth in heterogeneous overlapped sensor networks. Simulation outcome showed

that the proposed approach elevated the network lifetime. In targeted, Pool-based cooperation finished quite small variance of lifetime improvement, that's, it offered really reasonable cooperation.

### References

1. "21 thoughts for the 21st century", Business Week, Aug. 30 1999, pp. 78-167.
2. S.K. Singh, M.P. Singh, and D.K. Singh, "A review of Energy-Efficient Hierarchical Cluster-based Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Advanced Networking and Application (IJANA), Sept.– Oct. 2010, vol. 02, issue 02, pp. 570– 580.
3. S.K. Singh, M.P. Singh, and D.K. Singh, "Vitality productive Homogeneous Clustering Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Network", International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Networks (IJWMN), Aug. 2010, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 49-61.
4. Jun Zhen and Abbas Jamal pour, "Remote Sensor Networks: A Networking Perspective", a book distributed by A John and Sons, Inc, and IEEE, 2009.
5. S. Mira et al. (eds.), Guide to Wireless Sensor Networks, Computer Communications and Networks, DOI: 10.1007/978-1-84882-218 4, Springer-Vela London Limited 2009.
6. Ivan Stojmenovic and Stephan Solarium. Information driven conventions for remote sensor systems. In Handbook of Sensor Networks, Chapter 13, pages 417– 456. Wiley, 2005.
7. Christopher Ho, Katie Obraczka, Gene Studio, and Kumar Viswanath, "Flooding for solid multicast in multi-bounce specially appointed systems", In Proceedings of the third International Workshop on Discrete Algorithms and Methods for Mobile Computing and Communications (DIAL-M'99), 1999, pp. 64– 71.
8. Ming Liu, Janning Cao, Guitao Chen, and Xiamen Wang, "An Energy-Aware Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks", Sensors 2009, vol. 9, pp. 445-462.
9. Luis Javier Garcia Villella, Ana Lucile Sandoval Orozco, Alicia Trevino Cabrera, and Claudia Jacky Bronco Abbas, "Directing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks", Sensors 2009, vol. 9, pp. 8399-8421.